UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

File No. 1:03-CR-203 v.

ERNESTO McKINNEY,

Defendant.

Supervised Release Violation Hearing

Before

THE HONORABLE GORDON J. QUIST United States District Judge September 7, 2017

## **APPEARANCES**

DAVIN REUST Assistant U.S. Attorney P.O. Box 208

Grand Rapids, MI 49501

Attorney for Plaintiff

Grand Rapids, MI 49503

Attorney for Defendant

RICHARD E. ZAMBON 202 Waters Bldg.

Kevin W. Gaugier, CSR-3065 U.S. District Court Reporter

Grand Rapids, Michigan 1 2 September 7, 2017 3 3:12 p.m. 4 5 6 PROCEEDINGS 7 8 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated. 9 This is the case of United States of America against Ernesto McKinney, docket number 1:03-CR-203-04, time set for a 10 11 hearing on whether or not Mr. McKinney violated terms and 12 conditions of his supervised release. Can I have the 13 appearance of counsel, please? 14 MR. REUST: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Davin Reust 15 for the United States, and seated next to me at counsel table 16 is Probation Officer VanderVelde. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 MR. ZAMBON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Richard 19 Zambon appearing on behalf of Defendant Ernesto McKinney who 20 is in court. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Has each party 22 received a copy of the alleged violations, Mr. Reust? 23 MR. REUST: Yes, Your Honor. 24 MR. ZAMBON: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: You can remain seated for this, Mr.

Have you gone over them with your client, Mr. Zambon? 1 Zambon. 2 MR. ZAMBON: I have, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. McKinney? 4 DEFENDANT McKINNEY: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. What I'm going to do, Mr. 5 6 McKinney, is read the alleged violations to you and ask you 7 how you're going to plead to those. The government must 8 establish the alleged violations by a preponderance of the 9 evidence. If the government fails to do this, you will be 10 adjudicated not guilty of the allegations. 11 You have the right to cross-examine witnesses 12 brought by the government. You have the right to use your 13 retained counsel or court-appointed counsel. 14 Are you court-appointed? 15 MR. ZAMBON: I am, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Zambon. 17 You'll be given the opportunity to speak if you are 18 found guilty. You'll be given the opportunity to speak in 19 mitigation before any punishment is determined by me. Do you 20 understand all these rights, sir? 21 DEFENDANT McKINNEY: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. I will now read the alleged 23 violations to you, sir. I want to make sure I've got the 24 right ones here. Yes, I do, because we've had two of these 25 hearings in a short period of time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Alleged violation number one is of the following mandatory condition: Defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime. It's alleged that on or about July 27, 2017, through August 8, 2017, Mr. McKinney possessed and used cocaine. Possession of cocaine less than 25 grams is a violation of Michigan law, a felony, and this is a subsequent -- second or subsequent offense. The penalty is subject to an enhancement for an additional two years, so it could be up to six years in prison. Do you understand that charge, sir? DEFENDANT McKINNEY: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And how do you plead, sir, quilty or not guilty? DEFENDANT McKINNEY: Guilty. THE COURT: Guilty, okay. Violation number two, standard condition number seven. Defendant shall refrain from use of alcohol and shall not possess, purchase, possess, use, distribute or administer any controlled substance or any paraphernalia related to any controlled substances except as prescribed by a physician. It's alleged that on or about July 27, 2017, through August 8, 2017, you used and possessed cocaine. Do you understand that charge? DEFENDANT McKINNEY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And how do you plead, sir, guilty or not

1 quilty? 2 DEFENDANT McKINNEY: Guilty. 3 THE COURT: All right. Did you take a look at the 4 supporting evidence on that and go over that with him, Mr. Zambon? 5 MR. ZAMBON: I did, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. McKinney, do you agree that 8 it's substantively correct, what it says there under 9 supporting evidence and information? 10 DEFENDANT McKINNEY: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Then you're adjudicated guilty of 12 those violations. That's a Grade B violation for violation 13 number one. Possession/use of cocaine is a Grade C 14 violation. The most serious grade is B. 15 He has a criminal history category of IV. 16 carries a penalty of 12 to 18 months under the policy 17 guidelines, and they're only policy statements. They never 18

were the so-called mandatory guidelines. Even they weren't really mandatory, but they're called that.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The recommendation to me, however, is a sentence longer than that, a sentence of 24 months, and that's where we are. And the rationale I think you have, I mean, he was just here in July, for one thing, and hope for the best and got the worst. Anyway, why don't we stand up there for allocution. read his letter.

MR. ZAMBON: Thank you, Your Honor.

If it please the Court, I was first assigned to represent Mr. McKinney when he applied for a sentence reduction back in about 2012, and I remember filing 63 certificates of accomplishment that Ernesto had obtained while in prison, so he kept very busy when he was sentenced originally. He I understand had very little problems in prison. He was, you know, pretty much a model prisoner.

He applied for the RDAP program but was unable to take that program because eventually this Court did reduce his sentence, and due to timing issues he was unable to take the 500-hour program, which I think would have been very beneficial to him. He did take a 40-hour drug treatment program while in prison. Obviously not enough help for him.

When Ernesto got out of prison, he was sentenced to halfway house, and within two weeks he had obtained a job there at the halfway -- while still in the halfway house, and then he was released from the halfway house after I think it was 30 days. So he was doing very well there. He was steadily employed all the time he's been on supervised release.

My understanding is his jobs have always been better and better. He started off at McDonald's as a general laborer and was there for six to eight months, got a job at Notions in Grand Rapids, which is a seasonal distributor for Anson

Packaging. He then went to Dennen Steel and worked there, got a job as a janitor, ended up at Label Tape in Caledonia. He was able to get those jobs which were out of the area for him -- Dennen Steel is a bit of a drive, Caledonia is a bit of a drive -- but did he that because he had a driver's license, and he started making some pretty good choices.

He bought a car, got an apartment. His girlfriend had a child, was born I think three months premature and was in neonatal care for a substantial period of time. About that time is when he started slipping, started using, and was in complete denial as the Court sees from the report and from his conferences with you. I think he's been before you before, as you said, in July. He was in denial as to his use and his dependence on cocaine.

When I met with him we discussed all those issues. He realizes he has this huge problem. If you call it the monkey on his back, it's tremendously large. It's gorilla-sized, obviously. He wishes that he had availed himself of the help that was offered him through the Probation Department and this Court gave him the opportunity, and as you can see from his letter, he is serious about the fact that he needs help. He acknowledges and he asks the Court to give him a chance, put him back into some kind of supervised release, you know, after some short jail sentence in the halfway house so he can learn how to deal with the issues in his life.

1 Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 Mr. McKinney, anything you'd like to say? DEFENDANT McKINNEY: Yeah. I just want to apologize 4 to the courts and to Amy. I apologized to her like a week 5 6 before I got locked up or whatever, you know, for what I was 7 doing, and I just want another chance to, you know, try to get 8 some type of help. 9 THE COURT: Well, there's an old saying, isn't 10 there, Mr. McKinney? One time -- what is it? Fooled once, 11 sorry, you know, for me. Fooled twice, really bad for me. 12 And so here we have it. Just a couple of months ago you were 13 here and given a break. Yeah, and -- well, you raised your 14 hand, so --15 DEFENDANT McKINNEY: No, go ahead, and I haven't 16 used. I haven't did nothing since then, since I left the 17 court. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I thought that this use came 19 afterwards. 20 DEFENDANT McKINNEY: It did, but I was already 21 dirty when they put the patch on me. 22 THE COURT: So you're saying you didn't use, but it 23 came back dirty anyway? It lasts about seven days. 24 DEFENDANT McKINNEY: Right. That's what I told my 25 PO. I said -- I asked Amy, I said, Man, just give me one more

week because I know my system will be clean. 1 2 THE COURT: Ms. VanderVelde? 3 PROBATION OFFICER VANDERVELDE: I'm sorry, Your 4 Honor? THE COURT: Did he use after the last time we were 5 6 here together? 7 PROBATION OFFICER VANDERVELDE: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And what was -- let me just check these 9 dates again. We had this hearing --10 DEFENDANT McKINNEY: July 27th. 11 THE COURT: All right. Our hearing was -- I don't 12 have it here. PROBATION OFFICER VANDERVELDE: It was July 27, Your 13 14 Honor. 15 THE COURT: The hearing was July 27? 16 PROBATION OFFICER VANDERVELDE: Correct, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: And then when did he use, July 27? 18 PROBATION OFFICER VANDERVELDE: There was a patch on 19 from July 27 through August 3 that was positive for cocaine 20 and then another patch on August 7. Sorry, that was a drug 21 use. That was a UA drug test, so a urinalysis. That was 22 positive for cocaine, and then we had another sweat patch on 23 August 3 through August 8 that was positive for cocaine, and 24 cocaine use only stays in your system approximately 36 hours, 25 Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, but the metabolite stays there a 1 2 little bit longer. 3 PROBATION OFFICER VANDERVELDE: There's a cutoff, 4 Your Honor, for the patches. There was two patches and one urine, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: And one urine. They all tested 7 positive? 8 PROBATION OFFICER VANDERVELDE: Correct. 9 THE COURT: Okay. That would have caught it, then. 10 Okay. Anything from the government? Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. 11 McKinney. Did you want to say anything? 12 DEFENDANT McKINNEY: No, I've said it all. 13 THE COURT: Okay. You may be seated. Mr. Reust? 14 MR. REUST: Very briefly, Your Honor. 15 The Court's obviously much more familiar with this 16 case than I am and knows that Mr. McKinney had two positive 17 sweat patches which resulted in a July 27 hearing where the 18 Court was lenient with Mr. McKinney, and instead of revoking 19 his supervised release, simply ordered that he continue to 20 wear sweat patches. So he put one on that day on August 3, 21 tested positive. On August 7 Mr. McKinney took a urinalysis 22 test and that was also positive, and then he wore another 23 sweat patch from August 3 to August 8 which was positive, and 24 now as high as August 14th he failed to report to have a new

25

patch put on.

Your Honor, I looked back through Mr. McKinney's 1 2 initial PSR, and what he said there gave me concern for the 3 violation that he's here for today. In the PSR in 4 Paragraph --5 THE COURT: Hold on a minute. I have it right here. What paragraph are you referring to? 6 7 MR. REUST: 263. 263. 8 THE COURT: Do you have that, Mr. Zambon? 9 MR. ZAMBON: I do not have that with me. I have 10 reviewed the report in the past. If I could take a quick 11 glance. 12 MR. REUST: I was just going to give --13 THE COURT: I've got it here now. 14 MR. REUST: -- a brief summary. In effect, Mr. 15 McKinney stated that he began selling drugs to finance his own 16 drug habit, and obviously here he's saying he slipped and 17 began using drugs, so there's clearly a concern here that that 18 may slip further if Mr. McKinney were to continue to use 19 narcotics. So the government's position is in line with the 20 Probation Department's position in requesting the 24 months of 21 incarceration for Mr. McKinney. 22 THE COURT: All right. Well, I think the 23 recommendation by the Probation Department is right on. 24 a little bit above the policy guidelines, but considering all 25 the facts and circumstances of the case, especially the fact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that he was given the opportunity before and he wasn't forthcoming. I was told until today that he was going to object to everything here, which is his right, and I don't hold that against him except it goes to really acceptance of responsibility for his acts. MR. ZAMBON: Your Honor, if I may address that? THE COURT: Yeah. MR. ZAMBON: I advised the Court overnight in an email that we would not be contesting. THE COURT: I didn't say that. I heard about it today. I heard it today for the first time. MR. ZAMBON: When we were exchanging emails back and forth, I --THE COURT: She's nodding. I didn't see it. MR. ZAMBON: As soon as I met with Mr. McKinney up at the jail after the initial appearance, he told me he wanted to plead guilty and I let the Court know. THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm sorry, then. wrong. MR. ZAMBON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. But he was convicted of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute five kilograms or more of cocaine base, also known as crack cocaine. I was just given a -- I probably was given it and I never saw it. Here it is, 8/22. "I spoke with Mr. McKinney yesterday and he

does not wish to contest." Okay. First time I've seen it.

Anyway, he possessed a firearm as one of his drug trafficking tools, a sawed-off shotgun that can loaded with buckshot. At the time of his original sentence he had an offense level 37 and criminal history level of IV at that time, used Ecstasy and other drugs. In other words, he had a big drug problem.

His sentencing guideline range was 292 to 365 months. He was sentenced to 292 months, but the sentence was lowered to 172 months pursuant to 18 United States Code Section 3582(c). The severe drug problems are important because drug users also become drug dealers and Mr. McKinney was a drug dealer, so he's back with the same crowd he was before. In fact, he was convicted in this court and other courts of drug dealing.

He tested positive for illegal use that was sanctioned by my sentencing him to five months in the Residential Reentry Center for five months. He was required to use a sweat patch, and like I said, here we are again.

Also, he was in the company of a guy named Marcus Palmer, another felon and a state parolee, when he, Mr.

McKinney, was stopped by the Grand Rapids Police Department.

The police said that they smelled marijuana odor emitting from his person. Mr. McKinney denied knowing Mr. Palmer was a convicted felon. I tend to doubt that. People know at least

usually that much about someone else. He knew Mr. Palmer well enough to get into a car with Mr. Palmer and Mr. Palmer let him do so. Doesn't really make any difference in sentencing. I just mention that because it gives me some concern, but I'm giving him the benefit of the doubt on that.

He also -- he took responsibility for this, but like I said before, he was given the opportunity before. The probation officer recommends I sentence Mr. McKinney above the guideline policy range. In my judgment he doesn't learn his lessons. In my judgment he doesn't take responsibility for his acts, and it can lead to trouble not only for him, but also for the community to whom these products are sold.

Therefore, the sentence will be the 24 months suggested. I think that was it. Okay. He'll have three years of supervised release to follow. This is subject to any final objection, by the way. Three years of supervised release to follow, and during that he will participate in a program of testing and treatment for substance abuse as directed by the probation officer and follow the rules as directed by the probation officer, pay at least a portion of the costs according to his ability, must not use/possess any alcoholic beverage and not frequent any establishment whose primary purpose is the sale or serving of alcohol. He must reside at the Residential Reentry Center as designated by the Bureau of Prisons for a period of six months and while at the

Center abide by all rules and regulations of the program and 1 2 shall receive work release at the direction of the probation 3 officer. 4 Mr. McKinney, you have the right to appeal your 5 sentence. You must file a notice of intention to appeal if you want to appeal within 14 days of today. You tell Mr. 6 7 Zambon you want to file that notice and you tell him as soon 8 as you can because it takes him some time to prepare it and 9 get it over here. 10 Other than that, Mr. Reust, anything from the 11 government? 12 MR. REUST: No, Your Honor, thank you. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Zambon? 14 MR. ZAMBON: No, thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned. 16 you. 17 (Proceedings concluded at 3:28 p.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Kevin W. Gaugier, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the within-entitled and numbered cause on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I do further certify that the foregoing transcript was prepared by me.

## /s/ Kevin W. Gaugier

Kevin W. Gaugier, CSR-3065 U.S. District Court Reporter 110 Michigan N.W. 622 Federal Building Grand Rapids, MI 49503